

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

three cotyledons, when the root is triarch); among cycads the cotyledonary bundles are not of equal value in the production of root structure, and even similarly situated bundles vary in the same species; among the cycads the cotyledonary bundles fuse with the plumular traces and ultimately form a central cylinder of variable structure.

Root.—In Ginkgo there may be an addition of protoxylem elements after the root structure has been organized; in Stangeria the primary root may branch dichotomously; after the initial root structure has been attained, the number of poles may be increased at lower levels.

The paper closes with a very useful table showing the variation in the number of bundles in the base of the cotyledons of the fourteen species discussed, and also the relation of this number to the number of poles in the root structure.—J. M. C.

Adaptation in fossil plants.—In his presidential address¹⁸ at the anniversary meeting (May 24) of the Linnean Society, Scott took occasion to outline the evidence for adaptation from fossil plants, which naturally dealt chiefly with the anatomical structures of those ancient vascular plants which he has done so much to elucidate. No one is more competent to state the facts in reference to ancient plants, but the conclusions do not seem to be irresistible. In substance they are as follows: (I) at all known stages in the history of plants there has been a thoroughly efficient degree of adaptation to the conditions existing at each period; (2) the characters of plants always having been as highly adaptive as they are now, natural selection appears to afford the only key to evolution which we possess at present; (3) the paleontological record reveals only a relatively short section of the whole evolution of plants, during which there has not been any very marked advance in organization, except in cases where the conditions have become more complex, as illustrated by the floral adaptations of angiosperms; (4) the simple forms of the present flora are reduced rather than primitive, but such reduction may have set in often at a relatively early stage of evolution, and is therefore consistent with a considerable degree of antiquity in the reduced forms.

These broad statements, quite apart from their application to certain views of adaptation, contain much wholesome truth for those who imagine that the pale-ontological record, as we know it, represents a continuous succession of "higher and higher" plants, for it is becoming increasingly evident that very highly organized plants existed at the very beginning of our record.—J. M. C.

Morphology of Penaeaceae.—Stephens published a preliminary account¹⁹ of his studies among the Penaeaceae which was noticed in this journal.²⁰ There has now appeared the full account with illustrations,²¹ so that the morphological

¹⁸ Scott, D. H., Presidential address before Linn. Soc., 1909. pp. 15.

¹⁹ STEPHENS, E. L., A preliminary note on the embryo sac of certain Penaeaceae. Annals of Botany 22:329. 1908.

²⁰ Bot. Gazette **45:**365. 1908.

 $^{^{21}}$ STEPHENS, E. L., The embryo sac and embryo of certain Penaeaceae. Annals of Botany 23:363–378. pls. 25, 26. 1909.